BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, CENTRAL ZONAL BENCH, BHOPAL

Original Application No. 39/2015 (CZ)
Vinayak Parihar Vs. State of M.P. & 5 Ors.
and
M.A.No 701/16

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE Dr. SATYAWAN SINGH GARBYAL, EXPERT MEMBER

PRESENT: Applicant: Shri Vijay Shahahni, Advocate with

Shri Vinayak Parihar, Advocate
Respondent/State Min. Corp:
Respondent / MPPCB:

Shri Sachin K.Verma, Advocate
Ms. Parul Bhadoria, Advocate for

Shri Purushaindra Kaurav, Adv.
CPCB: Shri Sandeep Singh Singh, Advocate
NTPC: Shri Sambhav Sogani, Advocate

Vanshika Construction &: Shri Shreyas Dharmadhikari, Adv.

Shiva Corporation:

Shiva Corporation:		
ate and	Orders of the Tribunal	
Remarks /		
Order No. 23		
	The State has filed M.A. No. 1031/2016 for taking on record	
21st November,		
2016	the submission made by way of compliance along with the documents	
2010	the submission made by way of compliance along with the documents	
	annexed therewith as well as the affidavit of the District Collector	
	annexed therewith as well as the arridavit of the District Collector	
V	Narsinghpur. The documents are ordered to be taken on record. Copy	
11 (of the same has been provided to the Learned Counsel for the	
11.0		
	Applicant. Learned Counsel appearing for some of the Respondents	
	Shri Dharmadhikari also prays for a copy. He may obtain a copy from	
21 1	A COLOR	
	Shri Sachin K.Verma, Learned Counsel for the State. Learned	
	NA TRIBUTA	
~	Counsel for the Applicant prays for time to go through the	
	counsel for the ripplicant plays for time to go unough the	
	compliance and the material submitted by the State. The prayer is	
	compliance and the material submitted by the State. The prayer is	
	allowed.	
	allowed.	
	TI MDDCD 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	The MPPCB has also submitted a reply in which it is	
	submitted that out of 22 mining areas which were inspected by the	
	SIT, only 04 have EC details of which have been provided in the para	
	no. 4 of the reply have obtained, out of the remaining 18 only 08 area	
	have been allotted by the Mining Department to the Corporation.	
	However, in these also no EC has been granted so far and in the	
	remaining 10 neither allotment nor EC has been made to any person	
L		

and the area is under illegal excavation.

In view of the above, we direct that in case the State has so far no taken adequate steps for prevention of illegal mining in the area which have neither been allotted nor do they have EC or the areas which have been allotted but no EC has been granted the effective steps for preventing illegal mining be taken with immediate effect by deploying adequate force for the prevention of illegal mining and transportation of the mineral from the area of such material which may have mined or lying in the spot of these 18 areas. It would be the responsibility of the District Collector, Collector (Mines), Mines Officials and SP & Police Stations to ensure the checking and surveillance with regard to the aforesaid.

The reply of the State indicates that action has been initiated against the persons found to be carrying out illegal mining. We may add that so far as action against persons who were carrying out illegal mining are concerned that has to be a continuous and ongoing process and should not be confined to one time operation. Subsequent action taken against the defaulting parties post the inspection which were carried out in year 2016 shall also be submitted by the Stated on the next date of hearing.

M.A. No. 701/2016 filed for taking on record the report of SIT is allowed. The SIT report is ordered to be taken on record has already ordered. M.A. No. 701/2016 is accordingly disposed of.

Let the matter be listed on 15th December, 2016.

	,JM
(DALIP SINGH)	
	EM
	,EM
(Dr. S.S.GARBY)	AL)

